UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.		ORDE	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Justino Sierra-Reyes		_ Case Number:	11-02159M-001
present and	nce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § I was represented by counsel. I conclude I f the defendant pending trial in this case.	y a preponderance of the ev	was held on February 23, 2011. Defendant was idence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a p	reponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the	United States or lawfully adn	nitted for permanent residence.
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously or otherwise removed.			by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs and the defendant has previously been deported
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum	ofye	ears imprisonment.
The at the time of	of the hearing in this matter, except as not	rial findings of the Pretrial Se ed in the record. ONCLUSIONS OF LAW	rvices Agency which were reviewed by the Court
1.	There is a serious risk that the defend	lant will flee.	
2.	No condition or combination of condition	ions will reasonably assure t	he appearance of the defendant as required.
	DIRECTION	NS REGARDING DETENT	ION
a corrections appeal. The of the United	s facility separate, to the extent practicable defendant shall be afforded a reasonable	from persons awaiting or ser opportunity for private consult Government, the person in	ner designated representative for confinement in rving sentences or being held in custody pending lation with defense counsel. On order of a court charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ection with a court proceeding.
	APPEALS	AND THIRD PARTY RELEA	ASE
IT IS deliver a cop Court.	S ORDERED that should an appeal of this y of the motion for review/reconsideration	detention order be filed with to Pretrial Services at least or	the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to ne day prior to the hearing set before the District
Services suf	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to ficiently in advance of the hearing before ne potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be conside the District Court to allow P	red, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
		The second secon	
DATE: Fe	bruary 23, 2011		JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge